2

3

4

19

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Larnita Pette, plaintiff in this adversary proceeding ("Plaintiff") and Ralph E. Sanders, defendant in this adversary proceeding ("Defendant") SUBMIT THE FOLLOWING PRE-TRIAL STIPULATION pursuant to Local Bankruptcy Rule 7016-1(b)(2).

Case 8:17-ap-01068-MW	Doc 38 Filed 03 Main Document		Entered 03/08/19 11:59:22 2 of 40	Desc
I. UNDERLYING ISSUES	OF FACT COMM	ON TO	ALL CLAIMS FOR RELIEF	
A. Defendant filed	a petition for relief u	nder Cha	pter 7 on January 25, 2017 (the	
"petition date").				
Not Co	ontested			
B. The Defendant di	d not include the foll	owing in	his petition filed on January 25,	2017
that he was a co-trustee of th	e Bobbye J. Rives Ti	rust ("Tr	ust") and that he had inherited ov	/er
\$90,000 from the Trust.				
Not C	ontested			
C. After the initial 3	41 hearings on Marc	h 9, 2017	and March 23, 2017, the Chapter	er 7
 			nt to provide additional docume	
and to amend his bankruptcy	schedules to include	e informa	ation that was omitted from his in	nitial
petition. Appendix 1, Exhibi	t 3			
	Contested			
D. Plaintiff commen	ced this adversary p	roceeding	g by filing a complaint on May 8	, 2017.
Not C	ontested			
E. Plaintiff filed an	amended adversary o	complain	t on July 13, 2017.	
	Contested			
F. Prior to the petition	on date, on May 8, 2	015, Plai	ntiff filed a lawsuit for herself an	nd as an
			e J. Rives, against Defendant and	
Beverly Murray-Calcote in	the San Diego Count	ty Superi	or Court case no. 37-2015-00015	5654.
("SDCSC").				
11	Contested			
11			aintiff filed a Notice of Petition t	
11			Murray-Calcote as Co-Trustees	
Bobbye J. Rives Trust ("Tr	ust") in the Orange C	County Si	perior Court, Probate Division of	case no.
30-2016-00863391-PR-TR	-CJC. ("OCSC").			

Not Contested

Case 8:17-ap-01068-MW	Filed 03/0 ocument	Entered 03/08/19 11:59:22 3 of 40	Desd

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

21

2324

25

26

27

28

II. CLAIMS FOR NONDISCHARGEABILITY OF PENDING LAWSUITS

- A. First Claim: The pending lawsuits should not be discharged because the Chapter 7 bankruptcy was specifically filed to defeat the pending lawsuits resulting in a willful and malicious damages to the Plaintiff. (11 USC § 523(a)(6)).
 - 1. ELEMENTS OF THE CLAIM
- a. An Anti-SLAPP motion was filed jointly by the Defendant and the co-trustee of the Trust, Beverly Murray-Calcote, in the SDCSC case on February 24, 2016.

Not Contested

b. The SDCSC Anti-SLAPP motion was heard on August 26, 2016. Defendant's motion was denied.

Not Contested

c. At the hearing denying the Defendant's Anti-SLAPP motion, the SDCSC jury trial date was scheduled for April 21, 2017. The trial readiness conference was scheduled for April 14, 2017.

Not Contested

d. At the OCSC case management conference held on November 17, 2016, the court ordered that "...no further trust assets be expended until further hearing". The order remains in effect to this date.

Not Contested

e. On January 3, 2017, Defendant fled an objection to the OCSC Petition to Remove.

Not Contested

f. On January 12 co-trustee, Beverly Murray-Calcote filed an objection to the OCSC Petition to Remove.

Not Contested

g. On January 13, 2017, Defendant filed a response to the probate petition in the OCSC.

Not Contested

h. On January 25, 2017, Defendant filed for Chapter 7 Bankruptcy in the Central District of California Bankruptcy Court, Santa Ana Division ("CDCBCSA")

Case 8:17-ap-01068-MW	Doc 38	Filed 03/0	06/19	Entered 03/08/19 11:59:22	Desc
·	Main Do	ocument	Page	e 4 of 40	

Not Contested

i. On February 17, 2017, co-trustee, Beverly Murray-Calcote filed for Chapter 7 Bankruptcy in the Central District of California Bankruptcy Court, Los Angeles Division ("CDCBCLA").

Not Contested

j. On March 10, 2017, Plaintiff prepared expert witness list for SDCSC trial.

Not Contested

Not Contested

- k. On March 27, 2017, Defendant filed a Notice of Stay of Proceedings with the OCSC.
- On March 29, 2017, Defendant filed a Notice of Stay o Proceedings with the SDCSC.
 Not Contested
- B. Second Claim: Pursuant to 11 USC § (727(a)(4)(A)(B)), "(a) the court shall grant a debtor a discharge, unless (4) the debtor knowingly and fraudulently, in or in connection with the case (A)made a false oath or account: (B) presented or used a false claim.
 - 1. ELEMENTS OF THE CLAIM
 - a. On January 25, 2017, Defendant signed a Voluntary Petition for Chapter 7

 Bankruptcy stating "I have examined this petition, and declare under penalty of perjury that the information provided is true and correct".

Contested

Plaintiff: Although the Defendant payed someone other than an attorney to prepare his bankruptcy petition, the vouched for the accuracy of the contents of his petition under penalty of perjury.

Evidence:

Case 8:17-bk-10265-MW Docket #1(page 6)

Defendant: "The preparer did not disclose that I was a Beneficiary and Co-Trustee and that the Defendant had received an inheritance over \$90,000 as a beneficiary even though he was emailed a schedule of what was done with the money from the inheritance."

	Case 8:17-ap-01068-MW Doc 38 Filed 03/06/19 Entered 03/08/19 11:59:22 Desd Main Document Page 5 of 40
1	Evidence:
2	Docket 12, pp. 24-25.
3	b. On March 9, 2017, the initial 341 meeting was held.
4	Contested
5	Plaintiff: Chapter 7 trustee asked Defendant if he signed the petition schedule of
6	statements, if he read them before he signed them, if he was personally familiar with the
7	information in the documents, and to the best of his knowledge are the documents correct.
8	Defendant answered "yes" to all of the questions. The defendant answered "no" when asked if
9	there were any errors or omissions.
10	Evidence:
11	Exhibit Transcript of March 9, 2017 341 hearing (L97408028) Page 2.
12	Defendant: When the trustee asked him about receiving an inheritance from the Bobbye
13	J. Rives Trust he admitted that he had received an inheritance.
14	Evidence:
15	(Exhibit)Defendant's assertion that when the trustee asked about the trust and
16	inheritance he answeredstatements/find in evidence/discovery exchanges.
17	c. During the March 23, 2017 341 hearing, Defendant was asked if he received an
18	inheritance. Defendant admitted that he received an inheritance of approximately
19	\$90,000 from the Bobbye J. Rives Trust and that he was a co-trustee of the trust.
20	Contested
21	Plaintiff: The Defendant lied under oath and under penalty of perjury twice about his
22	income on his BK schedules and only admitted to the discrepancy with his schedules when asked
23	directly about receiving an inheritance from the trust.
24	Evidence:
25	Transcripts (Sanders BK 341 Hearing 3.23.27) L97408043 (Appendix 1, Exhibit
26	2 pp. 1-6)
27	Bobbye J. Rives Trust Preliminary Accounting dated November 30, 2015.
28	(Appendix 1, Exhibit 3 pp 6-10).

	Case 8:17-ap-01068-MW Doc 38 Filed 03/06/19 Entered 03/08/19 11:59:22 Desd Main Document Page 6 of 40
1	Defendant: "The Bankruptcy Trustee directed me to go to the filing office and amend the
2	Statement of Financial Affairs and it was amended on May 5, 2017." (Docket 12 p.16).
3	Evidence:
4	Docket 12, Exhibit p. 26
5	d. At the initial 341 hearing, which the Plaintiff attended, the Defendant stated that he
6	was the House Manager for RB Housing, that he didn't get a salary, but RB Housing paid for his
7	rent. Social Security was his only income. A continuance was scheduled for 3/23/17.
8	Not contested
9	e. At the 3/23/17 hearing, which the Plaintiff also attended, Defendant provided the
10	trustee with his tax returns indicating that he had wages of \$20,858 in 2015.
11	Not Contested
12	f. When asked about his relationship to Bobbye Rives, the Defendant answered "Oh, it's
13	my aunt". When asked if he inherited anything from his aunt, the Defendant hesitates "[Coughs]
14	Uh, we, I got on fifth of the sale of the house". The Defendant was asked," one fifth of the sale
15	of what house, sir"? his response"Uh, her residence"".Trustee "And how much did you
16	receive, sir? Response: "I think it was about \$90,000."
17	Contested
18	Plaintiff: The Defendant did not volunteer any information about how much he his
19	inherited from the Trust. The Trustee had to ask very direct questions before the Defendant
20	admitted that he received \$90,000 from the Trust (actually \$98,800-\$4,000 in 12/14, \$8,000 in
21	2016).
22	Evidence:
23	Transcript (L97408043) Sanders BK 341 Hearing 3.23.17 Exhibit 2, pp. 4-17
24	Trust Preliminary Accounting dated 11/30/2015 (Appendix 1, Exhibit 3 pp 6-10).
25	Docket #12, p. 26
26	Defendant: "The Defendants Bankruptcy preparer failed to disclose that I was a

beneficiary and co-trustee and that the Defendant had received an inheritance of over \$90,000 as

a beneficiary even though he was emailed a schedule of what was done with the money from the

Case 8:17-ap-01068-MW	Doc 38 Filed 03/06/19 Entered 03/08/19 11:59:22 Desc Main Document Page 7 of 40
inheritance. The Defendants	Bankruptcy Trustee asked the Defendant if he had received any
inheritance and he replied yes	S"
Evidence:	
Docket #12, p	p. 19, 24-25
g. During the March 2	23, 2017 341 hearing, the Defendant told the Trustee that he lived at
1251 W Bishop in a home that	at RB Housing, a non-profit, rented from Quan Chau that provided
housing for seniors and that l	he has an agreement with RB Housing to act as the house manager.
His duties include making su	re that the seniors are okay. Defendant stated that there are currently
eight residents at 1251 West	Bishop. Defendants stated that the tenants pay rent to RB Housing.
	Not Contested
h. The Defendant fai	led to disclose that:
1. RB Housin	g was also renting two 5 bedroom homes in La Habra (741 & 745
West 4th Stre	et, La Habra) at the time he filed for bankruptcy.
2. The Defen	ndant was the Director of Robbie Bobbye Housing, Inc ("RB
Housing"). D	efendant was required to amend his bankruptcy schedules to reflect
his official ca	pacity with RB Housing.
3. The Defer	ndant was required by the Trustee to amend his schedules to reflect
income that h	ne received from RB Housing.
4. The Defer	ndant was required by the Trustee to amend his schedules to reflect
the inheritance	ce he received from RB Housing.
5. The Defer	ndant has not amended his schedules to include the homes RB
housing was	leasing in La Habra as early as December, 2016 (weeks before he
filed for banl	kruptcy) when the Defendant filed for Temporary Restraining Order
against resid	ents in the West Bishop and La Habra residences.
6. The La Ha	abra residences are owned by Lambert Monte Vista, Inc
7. Rental Ag	greements and statements by the Defendant filed with the Temporary
Restraining (Order requests indicate that the tenants of the RB Housing rented
homes were	paying from \$1,200-\$1,400 (in cash) a month for a shared room.

С	ase 8:17-ap-01068-MW Doc 38 Filed 03/06/19 Entered 03/08/19 11:59:22 Desd Main Document Page 8 of 40				
	8. One Tenant who was responded to the Defendant's Restraining order request				
	indicated that the receipts that they received from the Defendant for their rent				
	"payment to Ralph Sanders not "Robbie Bobbye".				
	Contested				
	Plaintiff: Although the Defendant has been required to amend his schedules numerous				
t	imes. He has failed to disclose to the Trustee, the total number of homes (3) that were being				
1	eased by RB Housing when his petition on January 25, 2017. Thus, hiding potential income				
f	From the Bankruptcy Court.				
	Evidence: Appendix 1, Exhibit 4 (Robbie Bobbye Housing, Inc.)				
]	III. REMEDIES				
-	The Plaintiff seeks the determination that the pending lawsuits (SDCSC and OCSC) are deemed				
]	nondischargeable pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 523(a)(6) and /or 11 U.S.C. § 727(a)(4)(A)(B) or, in				
1	the alternative, that the Defendant's response to this adversary complaint be dismissed.				
.	V. EXHIBITS TO BE OFFERED BY EACH PARTY AND OBJECTIONS TO EXHIBITS				
	A. PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBITS: Plaintiff's exhibits are attached to this order as Appendix				
	1.				
	B. DEFENDANT'S EXHIBITS: Defendant's exhibits are listed in and attached to				
	Appendix 2. (Docket #29, Exhibits). Plaintiff contests the admissibility of the exhibits listed in				
	the concurrently filed "Motion to Exclude Evidence".				
	VI. WITNESSES TO BE OFFERED BY EACH PARTY				
	A. Plaintiff:				
	Beverly Murray-Calcote				
	Paul Gonzales				
	Marissa Knight				
	B. Defendant:				
	Russell Griffith				
	Wenetta Kosmala				
Н					

	Main Document Page 9 of 40
1	Ed Kirkman
2	Jacinta Kirkman
3	Karen Dee
4	Daniel Given
5	
6	
7	
8	Signature of Plaintiff, Pro Se:
9	March 5, 2019 Larnita Pette Auta Sette
10	Date Type Name Signature
11	
12	
13	Signature of Defendant or Attorney for Defendant:
14	Laurita Patt
15	Juma Deal
16 17	Could not come to an
18	aggrenint with the Defendent
19	toggether was respensed
20	So to expedite the documents of filed the Stipulation to next chadline
21	To to expedite the documents of
22	filed the Stepulation to neet deadline
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Doc 38 Filed 03/06/19 Entered 03/08/19 11:59:22 Case 8:17-ap-01068-MW Page 10 of 40 Main Document APPENDIX 1 Plaintiff Exhibits: Exhibit 1: Pending Civil Cases Exhibits Exhibit 2: Transcripts of March 9, 2017 and March 23, 2017 341 hearings (Verbalink) **Exhibit 3: Bankruptcy Exhibits** Exhibit 4: Robbie Bobbye Housing, Inc Exhibits

Doc 38 Filed 03/06/19 Entered 03/08/19 11:59:22 Case 8:17-ap-01068-MW Main Document Page 11 of 40 APPENDIX 2 **DEFENDANT Exhibits:** On October 29, 2018, the Defendant filed a status report with approximately 150 pages of attachments under the header "Exhibits". Docket #29 contains the status report with five attachments. A Motion to Exclude will be filed with the stipulation. Plaintiff will use the

	Case 8:17-ap-01068-MW Doc 38 Filed 03/06/19 Entered 03/08/19 11:59:22 Desc Main Document Page 12 of 40
	ŭ
1	APPENDIX 3
$\frac{1}{2}$	
3	PLAINTIFF'S WITNESS
4	1. Beverly Murray-Calcote is expected to testify:
5	A. About her duties as a co-trustee of the Bobbye J. Rives Trust.
6	B. She filed for Chapter 7 BK within 3 weeks of the Defendant on 2/17/17
7	C. The Plaintiff filed adversary complaint for omitting her \$90,000 inheritance
8	and other compensation from the Trust.
9	D. The United States Trustee filed an adversary complaint for the Trust and other
10	omissions.
11	D. Ms. Murray-Calcote agreed to:
12	"Stipulation between United States Trustee, Debtor Beverly Monique
13	Murray-Calcote, and Chapter 7 Trustee Gonzalez to Voluntarily Dismiss Case with a Refiling
14	Bar as to Chapter 7 Only in Lieu of Denial of Chapter 7 Discharge under 11 U.S.C. 727(a)(2),
15	(a)(3), (a)(4) and (a)(5) ". (2:17-bk-11972 RK (Docket #85)).
16	
17	2. Paul Gonzalez is expected to testify:
18	A. That he and his wife answered and Craigslist ad to a room to rent at 741 W. 4 th
19	St., La Habra, CA on or around March 1,2017 that stated there were rooms for rent in Santa Ana
20	and La Habra
21	B. Mr. Gonzales talked to Ralph Sanders, the landlord.
22	C. The Defendant told Mr. Gonzales that he rented out rooms for cash.
23	D. Mr. Gonzales gave the Defendant \$200 in cash and \$1,000 in cash a week later
24	for the \$1,200 a month room rent. The Defendant signed a receipt under "Ralph Sanders".
25	E. Mr. Gonzales was not informed that 741 and 745 (the back house) W. 4th St.
26	were "Transitional Housing".
27	
28	

	Case 8:17-ap-01068-MW Doc 38 Filed 03/06/19 Entered 03/08/19 11:59:22 Desc Main Document Page 13 of 40
1	F. On August 5*, 2017, Mr. Gonzales, his wife, Marissa and son moved into the
2	master bedroom and agreed to pay \$1,400 a month, paying in cash, and receiving receipts signed
3	by Ralph Sanders.
4	3. Marissa Knight is expected to testify:
5	A. Ms. Knight and her husband answered a Craigslist ad for a room in February
6	2017.
7	B. The Defendant had not mentioned transitional living.
8	C. The receipts stated payment to Ralph Sanders not "Robbie Bobbye".
9	D. In December, 2017 the Defendant asked my husband to sign a rental contract
10	for transitional living. Initially we refused to sign because never agreed to transitional living.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16 17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

APPENDIX 4

2

3

1

DEFENDANT'S WITNESSES

4

1. Ed and Jacinta Kirkman:

5

A. "Knowledge of Bobbye Rives mental capabilities."

6 7

B. "Knowledge of Bobbye Rives relationship with daughter Larnita Pette."

C. "Their testimony will show that there was no willful or malicious conduct by

8

the Defendant Ralph Sanders towards the Plaintiff Larnita Pette or her mother Bobbye Rives; but

9

Rives."

that willful and malicious conduct was performed by Plaintiff towards her mother Bobbye

10

11

1. Karen Dee (Adult Protective Services):

12 13

"Advocate for Bobbye J. Rives and helped her obtain a temporary restraining order vs

14

the Plaintiff. Her testimony will show that there was no willful or malicious conduct

15

by the Defendant Ralph Sanders towards the Plaintiff Larnita Pette or her mother

16 17

performed by the Plaintiff towards her mother Bobbye Rives including a temporary

18

restraining vs. The Plaintiff towards her mother Bobbye Rives and later an

Bobbye Rives; Karen Dee will show that willful and malicious conduct was

19

Emergency Protective order vs. the Plaintiff towards her mother Bobbye Rives to

20

remove her from her mother Bobbye Rives home."

21

2. Russell Griffith

"Bobbye Rives Trust Lawyer"

22

A. "Knowledge of Bobbye Rives mental capabilities, relationship with her daughter"

23

24

B. "Russell Griffith will testify that there was no willful and malicious conduct by

25

the Defendant Ralph Sanders towards the Plaintiff Larnita Pette or her mother

26 27

Bobbye Rives; but that willful and malicious conduct was performed by the Plaintiff towards her mother Bobbye Rives including the Plaintiff filing a lawsuit

28

vs. the Co-Trustees that the Deceased Bobbye Rives appointed and her estate."

lawyer Russell Griffith which caused a conflict of interest resulting in the estate lawyer having to resign which has caused major delays in the Co-Trustees work.

4. Daniel Given

- A. "Knowledge of Bobbye Rives health prior to death."
- B. "Knowledge of relationship with daughter Larnita Pette."
- C. "Dr. Given will give testimony that there was no willful or malicious conduct by the Defendant Ralph Sanders towards the Plaintiff Larnita Pette or her mother Bobbye Rives including no wrongful death or negligence by the Plaintiff towards her mother Bobbye Rives and Bobbye Rives actual death."

5. Wenetta Kosmala

"Wenetta Kosmala will testify in regards to the Defendant Ralph Sanders

Bankruptcy Petition. She will testify to her statement that, 'I hereby verify that the above-named debtor has been fully administered'."

Dated This day of March 5,th, 2019

Sainta Sette Pro Se

	Case 8:17-ap-01068-MW Doc 38 Filed 03/06/19 Entered 03/08/19 11:59:22 Desc Main Document Page 16 of 40				
1	PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT				
$_{2}\parallel$	I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business				
$_3\parallel$	address is: 111 Taylor, UNITE, VISTA, CA92084				
4	•				
5	A true and correct copy of the foregoing document described as <u>PRE-TRIAL STIPULATION</u> will be served or was served (a) on the judge in chambers in the form and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d); and (b) in the manner stated below:				
6	1. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF): Pursuant to				
7 8	controlling General Order(s) and LBR, the foregoing document will be served by the court via NEF and hyperlink to the document. On, I checked the CM/ECF docket for this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding and determined that the following person(s) are on the Electronic Mail				
9	Notice List to receive NEF transmission at the email address(es) stated below:				
0					
1	☐ Service information continued on attached page				
2	2. SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL OR OVERNIGHT MAIL (indicate method for each person or				
13	entity served): OnI served the following person(s) and/or entity(ies) at the last known				
۱4	address(es) in this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope in the United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, and/or with an overnight mail service addressed as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that mailing to the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.				
15					
16					
17	Service information continued on attached page				
18	3. SERVED BY PERSONAL DELIVERY, FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION OR EMAIL (indicate method for				
19	each person or entity served): Pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 5 and/or controlling LBR, on I served the following person(s) and/or entity(ies) by personal delivery, or (for those who consented in writing to such service method), by facsimile transmission and/or email as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that personal delivery on the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after				
20					
21	the document is filed.				
22					
23	Service information continued on attached page				
24	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and				
25	correct.				
26	Vilal Ti takan Mille				
27	Date Type Name Signature				
28	Date Type Name Signature				

Case 8:17-ap-01068-MW Doc 38 Filed 03/06/19 Entered 03/08/19 11:59:22 Desc Main Document Page 17 of 40

APPENDIX 1

EXHIBIT 1

Case 8:17-ap-01068-MW Doc 38 Filed 03/06/19 Entered 03/08/19 11:59:22 Desc Page 19 of 40 Main Document

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, **COUNTY OF ORANGE** CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER

MINUTE ORDER

DATE: 11/17/2016

TIME: 09:00:00 AM

DEPT: C06

JUDICIAL OFFICER PRESIDING: Jamoa A. Moberly

CLERK: Rosa Pena, Isabel Molina

REPORTER/ERM: None

BAILIFF/COURT ATTENDANT: C. Williamson

CASE NO: 30-2016-00863391-PR-TR-CJC CASE INIT.DATE: 07/13/2016

CASE TITLE: Rives - Trust

CASE CATEGORY: Probate

CASE TYPE: Trust Proceedings

EVENT ID/DOCUMENT ID: 72409510

EVENT TYPE: (P) Trust Petition MOVING PARTY: Larnita Ann Pette

CAUSAL DOCUMENT/DATE FILED: Trust Petition, 07/13/2016

APPEARANCES

Attorney for Larnita Pette - Daniel W. Abbott via CourtCall Objectors - Beverly Calcote and Ralph Sanders - Pro Pers

CD: 07/13/2016

Court orders in the interim that no further trust assets to be expended until further hearing.

(P) Trust Petition continued to 01/12/2017 at 09:00 AM in this department.

Continued for written objections to be filed. Objections are to be on file by 1/5/2017 or the Court may deem them waived per CRC 7.801.

Counsel Daniel Abbott to give notice.

DATE: 11/17/2016 Page 1 MINUTE ORDER DEPT: C06

Main Document Page 20 of 40

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER

MINUTE ORDER

DATE: 12/06/2018

TIME: 09:00:00 AM

DEPT: C06

JUDICIAL OFFICER PRESIDING: Jacki C. Brown

CLERK: Isabel Molina REPORTER/ERM: None

BAILIFF/COURT ATTENDANT: V Moctezuma

CASE NO: 30-2016-00863391-PR-TR-CJC CASE INIT.DATE: 07/13/2016

CASE TITLE: Rives - Trust

CASE CATEGORY: Probate

CASE TYPE: Trust Proceedings

EVENT ID/DOCUMENT ID: 72821585

EVENT TYPE: (P) Trust Petition MOVING PARTY: Larnita Ann Pette

CAUSAL DOCUMENT/DATE FILED: Trust Petition, 07/13/2016

APPEARANCES

Attorney for Larnita A. Pette - Stephen D. Blea (via CourtCall)

Attorney Stephen D. Blea informs the Court there is still one stay in effect with a future date of 3/20/2019.

(P) Trust Petition continued to 03/21/2019 at 09:00 AM in this department.

Matter continued due to one remaining stay in the Bankruptcy matter.

Court orders counsel to provide a verbal report of what occurs on the 3/20/2019 hearing, and file a written report re Bankruptcy stay and stays terminated by 3/18/2018.

Counsel including beneficiaries are directed to be present on 3/21/2019 at 9:00 AM in this department.

DATE: 12/06/2018

DEPT: C06

MINUTE ORDER

Calendar No. 15

Page 1

SUPPERIOR LOOUIRT OF SAN DIEGO COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO CENTRAL

MINUTE ORDER

DATE: 01/04/2019

TIME: 10:30:00 AM

DEPT: C-74

JUDICIAL OFFICER PRESIDING: Ronald L. Styn

CLERK: Kim Mulligan

REPORTER/ERM: Not Reported

BAILIFF/COURT ATTENDANT: K. Harris

CASE NO: 37-2015-00015654-CU-PO-CTL CASE INIT.DATE: 05/08/2015

CASE TITLE: PETTE vs. MURRAY-CALCOTE[IMAGED]

CASE CATEGORY: Civil - Unlimited CASE TYPE: PI/PD/WD - Other

EVENT TYPE: Status Conference (Civil)

APPEARANCES

Stephen Blea, counsel, present for Plaintiff(s), telephonically.

Court and counsel confer regarding case status, service issues, and bankruptcy issues.

Per counsel, the bankruptcy is still pending.

Status Conference (Civil) is continued pursuant to Court's motion to 04/26/2019 at 10:30AM before Judge Ronald L. Styn.

-kbm-

DATE: 01/04/2019

DEPT: C-74

MINUTE ORDER

Page 1

Calendar No. 31

Law Office of Luis E. Ventura 960 Cordova Drive Chula Vista. CT 91910

Invoice submitted to:
Ralph Sanders and Beverly Murray-Calcote

May 19, 2016 Sanders' Portion

November 29, 2016

INVOICE # 10464

Professional Services

	Hrs/Rate	Amount
5/2/2016 Review memoranda from L. Woodward re rescheduling MSC before Judge Bloom to 1pm on May 5; prepare memorandum to and review response from P. Hosey confirming me not to attend and re anticipated anti-SLAPP opposition	0.10 250.00/hr	NO CHARGE
5/3/2016 Review Register of Actions re Plaintiff's filing of anti-SLAPP opposition papers; prepare memoranda to and review responses from co-counsel re same and re errata re same; initial review of Pette declaration; review memoranda from and respond to P. Hosey re need for objecting to same and bases of objections; phone with attorneys Hosey and C. Albence re ideas re reply memorandum and re considerations re same; phone with opposing counsel's secretary, C. Stonehouse, re email	1.20 250.00/hr	300.00
5/4/2016 Prepare memorandum re balance of work for reply re anti-SLAPP motion and re options re same; research standing issue raised in opposition; phone with P. Hosey re standing and re additional arguments; phone with, review memoranda from and prepare response to R. Sanders re two letters at issue; phone with B. Calcote re same; phone with opposing counsel S. Blea re failure to serve opposition papers on me and effect of time crunch on reply	2.50 250.00/hr	625.00
5/5/2016 Prepare memorandum to R. Sanders re Sept. 2014 letter; phone conference with co-counsel re letters re anti-SLAPP motion and other issues re motion; research and prepare evidentiary objections; prepare memorandum to co-counsel re same and review response from Hosey; review memoranda from and prepare responses to co-counsel L. Woodward re TRO pleadings; phone with opposing counsel S. Blea re lack of service issues re opposition and his view that service on me not required; phone with B. Calcote re motion and possible bankruptcy filing; conference call with P. Hosey and R. Sanders re motion and possible bankruptcy filing	6.20 250.00/hr	1,550.00

Ralph Sanders and Beverly Murray-Calcote

Page

\$7,025.00

	Hrs/Rate	Amount
5/6/2016 Phone with P. Hosey re considerations re proceeding with motion; phone calls, prepare memoranda to, and review responses from R. Sanders, C. Albence, and B. Calcote re same; research and prepare reply memorandum; finalize evidentiary objections; prepare memoranda to and review responses opposing counsel Blea re agreement re e-service, re service of Evidentiary Objections, and re they not in agreement with any additional time; prepare memoranda to and review responses from R. Sanders re 'hospital would have received letter from Rives' attorney, Russell Griffith'	8.00 250.00/hr	2,000.00
5/7/2016 Phone with and review memorandum from B. Calcote re Sept. 2012 letter; phone with P. Hosey re same; prepare memorandum to and phone with Sanders re same; prepare and finalize Reply Memorandum of P&A and Declaration re same; prepare memorandum to all counsel re same	8.40 250.00/hr	2,100.00
5/11/2016 Prepare and finalize memorandum to B. Calcote-Murray, R. Sanders, C. Albence, and P. Hosey re status of anti-SLAPP motion, court reporter re same, inherent risk motion can be denied, evidentiary objections re same, and re deciding on whether to file for bankruptcy with input from bankruptcy attorney; review Plaintiff's 'Response to Evidentiary Objections'; research same; review minute order re anti-SLAPP hearing moved to May 20; prepare separate memoranda to clients and to opposing counsel re same; review responses from Hosey, Sanders, and Calcote re same	1.80 250.00/hr	450.00
For professional services rendered	28.20	\$7.025.00

Invoice limited to **\$6000** total via email of May 19, 2016 per agreement that any fees in excess of \$6000 may still be claimed in attorney fee motion if anti-SLAPP motion is successful. \$3000 of invoice to be paid by Murray-Calcote

\$3000 July 22, 2016 Pymt. <u>-\$200</u> Subtotal \$2800 Aug. 25, 2016 Pymt. -\$ 300 Subtotal \$2500 Oct. 7, 2016 Pymt. - \$ 600 Subtotal \$1900 Nov. 14, 2016 Pymt.-<u>\$ 200</u> Subtotal \$1700 Balance \$1700

and \$3000 to be paid by Sanders.

Delete Delete All

11/14/16 at 11:02 AM

Move ~

PescA

Q All

ralph sanders, search your mailbox



>







Add Gmail, Outlook, AOL and more

Inbox (18)

Drafts (20) Sent

Archive Spam (15)

Trash

✓ Smart Views

Important
Unread
Starred
People
Social
Shopping

Travel Finance for pops

temilynnvaughn7@gmai... LUIS VENTURA

FOUNDATION GROUP

stephanie dufour jared eugene PATRICK HOSEY

Folders (6)

preschool le... (6)

Recent

5 Sponsored



Type In Any Name, Wait 10 Seconds, Brace Yourself

Hosey & Bahrambeygui, LLP Accounting (2)

JMS <jstieg@hbattomeys.com>

rainh eandare

To raiph sanders CC PLH

Search results

Good Morning Ralph,

I have reviewed the Hosey & Bahrambeygui, LLP invoices for the Sanders adv. Pette matter. Please see the summary below:

Archive

Payments to H&B:

07-14-2015 - \$10,000 10-16-2015 - \$10,000 03-03-2016 - \$ 7,000

TOTAL PAYMENTS: \$27,000

TOTAL AMOUNT INVOICED BY H&B: \$36,763.34

Accordingly, the balance is \$9,763.34

Please let me know if you have any questions or need additional information.

Sincerely,

Jennifer M. Rivera Paralegal

Hosey & Bahrambeygui Attorneys at Law. LLP 225 Broadway, Suite 1460 San Diego, CA 92101 619 231 0500

A Committee of the state of the

f) 619 238 1097

The information contained in this e-mail message is information protected by attorney-client and/or attorney-work product privileges. It is intended for use of the individual named above and the privileges are not waived by virtue of this having been sent by e-mail. If the person actually receiving this e-mail or any other reader of the e-mail is not the named recipient or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the named recipient, any use, dissemination, distribution or copying of the communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by return e-mail or telephone and delete the original message.

> Show original message

ralph sanders. Hello Luis, I hope you are doing well but busy. I do no

11/17/16 at 6:52 PM

Max Major flickr

Mark.

From: PLH [mailto:phosey@hbattorneys.com]
Sent: Tuesday, March 01, 2016 1:01 PM
To: Dan Abbott <abbott@wmalawfirm.com>

Cc: Caroline Stonehouse <stonehouse@wmalawfirm.com>; Stephen Blea

blea@wmalawfirm.com>; 'Chris Albence' <
Chris@albence.com>

Subject: RE: Pette - request for service of ex parte papers

Hi Dan, I just got off the phone with Steve B and reviewed much of what you are asking. We will be sending over the moving papers a little early. I think I laid out my thinking in there. In short, the FAC and recent discovery responses as well as discussions related to your client's attitude on the case all support the need for 2 additional affirmative defenses.

As I told Steve, the application for leave is liberally granted unless there is a showing a prejudice to the other side. As such we are confident that the court will grant the request given that the request was made shortly after the operative answer was filed and immediately after the initial discovery responses by Plaintiff were received.

As to other law and motion, and at the risk of providing too much in the way of case strategy, our thoughts and position on the case are pretty simple. We do not think Plaintiff has any viable claims and will move toward positioning our defense as needed. The SLAPP Motion was filed because the defamation and negligence claims are based in whole or in part on protected activities. We are confident that the identified causes of action are subject to the statute and that they will be stricken. In addition, we will be seeking an award of mandatory attorney fees against your client. Subsequent to that award and the lifting of the discovery stay, we will be moving for summary judgement and/or adjudication on all causes of action and specifically on the Elder abuse/neglect claim (which we have discussed). Since no admissible evidence has been provided to date on that claim and given the facts known (as well as pled) cannot support it, that theory will disappear. All other claims are subject to factual proof and, even if viable, are of such little value that they will not total the amount of the now expired 998 (much less the attorney fee award from the SLAPP Motion). Thus, at the end of the day (or year), we anticipate that Plaintiff will have a judgment against her and will be writing a check to Mr. Sanders and Beverly.

It was and remains our hope that your client will appreciate the situation and understand that she will be spending tens of thousands of dollars prosecuting a case which has the probable result of her owing money to the defendants. We have attempted to settle the case but Plaintiff seems to have motivations beyond what can be attained in this litigation. However, we remain hopeful and trust that Plaintiff will eventually be persuaded to dismiss the case before the economics of it make settlement impossible.

As always, call anytime.

Patrick L. Hosey Hosey & Bahrambeygui

Attorneys at Law, LLP 225 Broadway, Suite 1460 San Diego, CA 92101 O)619 231 0500 C) 619 339 8000 www.hbattorneys.com

The information contained in this e-mail message is information protected by attorney-client and/or attorney-work product privileges. It is intended for use of the individual named above and the privileges are not waived by virtue of this having been sent by e-mail. If the person actually receiving this e-mail or any other reader of the e-mail is not the named recipient or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the named recipient, any use, dissemination, distribution or copying of the communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by return e-mail or telephone and delete the original message.

From: Dan Abbott [mailto:abbott@wmalawfirm.com]

Sent: Tuesday, March 01, 2016 12:36 PM To: PLH <phosey@hbattorneys.com>

Cc: Caroline Stonehouse < stonehouse@wmalawfirm.com >; Stephen Blea

<blea@wmalawfirm.com>; 'Chris Albence' < Chris@albence.com>

Subject: RE: Pette - request for service of ex parte papers

Dear Pat,

It would be helpful if you could cite me some authority for leave to file an amended answer at this point in the case.

It would also be helpful if you could explain the rationale behind waiting to seek leave to amend your answer after you filed the Anti-SLAPP motion, and after the extensive meet and conferral regarding our amended complaint.

These are questions my client will surely ask, and thus your answers will assist me in discussing the matter productively with my client.

Thank you,

Dan

Daniel W. Abbott, Esq. WITHAM MAHONEY & ABBOTT, LLP 401 B Street, Suite 2220 San Diego, CA 92101 619-407-0505 619-872-0711 - fax

Case 8:17-ap-01068-MW Doc 38 Filed 03/06/19 Entered 03/08/19 11:59:22 Desc Main Document Page 27 of 40

<u>abbott@wmalawfirm.com</u> www.wmalawfirm.com

IRS Circular 230 Notice. In accordance with Circular 230 of the Internal Revenue Service, we inform you that any tax advice contained in this e-mail, including any attachments, is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, by you or any other recipient for the purpose of (a) avoiding penalties that may otherwise be imposed by the IRS, or (b) supporting, promoting, marketing, or recommending any transaction or matter to any third party.

Confidential Communication. This message contains confidential information intended only for the use of the addressee named above and may contain information that is legally privileged. If you are not the addressee, or the person responsible for delivering it to the addressee, you are hereby notified that reading, disseminating, printing, distributing or copying this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message by mistake, please immediately notify us by replying to the message and then deleting the original message.

	Case 8:17-ap-01068-MW Doc 38 Filed 03/06/2 Main Document Pa	L9 Entered 03/08/19 11:59:22 Desc lige 28 of 40			
	Daniel W. Abbott, Esq. (SBN 218334) Stephen D. Blea, Esq. (SBN 294339) WITHAM MAHONEY & ABBOTT, LLP 401 B Street, Suite 2220 San Diego, California 92101 Telephone (619) 407-0505 E-Mail: abbott@wmalawfirm.com				
	Attorneys for Plaintiff Larnita Pette				
	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO				
	8 CENTRAL E	IVISION			
1	Description 1 LARNITA PETTE, as an interested person on behalf of BOBBYE RIVES (deceased); LARNITA PETTE, as an individual,	Case No. 37-2015-00015654-CU-PO-CTL PLAINTIFF LARNITA PETTE'S EXPERT WITNESS DESIGNATION AND			
1	Plaintiff, vs.	DECLARATION			
1	5 BEVERLY MURRAY-CALCOTE; RALPH SANDERS; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,				
1	Defendants.				
1	8	T. C.			
		and on an interested person on hehalf of			
5	Plaintiff LARNITA PETTE, as an individual and as an interested person on behalf of				
2	BOBBYE RIVES, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 2034.260, hereby submits her list of				
2	expert witnesses whose expert opinion she expects t	o offer in evidence at trial.			
2	/// 				
2	5 ///				
2	26 ///				
,	7 ///				
	28	THE DESIGNATION AND DECLARATION			
	PLAINTIFF LARNITA PETTE'S EXPERT WIT	NESS DESIGNATION AND DECLARATION			

RETAINED EXPERTS:

(1) Michael G. Plopper, M.D.

7850 Vista Hill Avenue San Diego, CA 92123 (858) 836-8355

(2) Karl Steinberg, M.D.

3608 Napa Court Oceanside, CA 92056 (760) 473-8253

See Declaration of Stephen D. Blea pursuant to CCP § 2034.260 attached hereto.

NON-RETAINED EXPERTS:

Plaintiff intends to call the following non-retained experts at trial:

(1) Dr. Jeffery Wasserstom, M.D.

5565 Grossmont Center Dr., Suite 551 La Mesa, CA 91942 (619) 698-1088

(2) Dr. Zubic, M.D.

3405 Kenyon St., Suite 513 San Diego, CA 92110 (619) 226-1977

(3) Dr. Fink, M.D.

8852 Center Dr., Suite 404 La Mesa, CA 91942 (619) 463-1293

Plaintiff also designates every expert designated by any party to this action and incorporates by reference those parties' expert designations.

Plaintiff reserves the right to submit the names of additional expert witnesses for the purpose of expressing an opinion on a subject to be covered by experts designated by any party in this proceeding. (Cal. Civ. Proc. Code, §2034.280.)

Plaintiff further reserves the right to augment this expert witness designation and declaration by adding the name and address of any expert witness she has subsequently retained. (Cal. Civ. Proc. Code §2034.610(a)(1).)

Plaintiff further reserves the right to amend the Declaration of Stephen D. Blea with respect to the general substance of the anticipated testimony of all designated expert witnesses. (Cal. Civ. Proc. Code §2034.610(a)(2).

Plaintiff also reserves the right to call at trial any expert witness, regardless of whether the expert has been previously designated by any party, to impeach the testimony of an expert witness offered by any other party to this proceeding. (Cal. Civ. Proc. Code §2034.310(b).)

Plaintiff's designated experts will be made available for deposition at a time and date mutually convenient to all parties.

Dated: March 10, 2017

WITHAM MAHONEY & ABBOTT, LLP

By:

Stephen D. Blea, Esq. Daniel W. Abbott, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff I.

Attorneys for Plaintiff Larnita Pette

DECLARATION OF STEPHEN D. BLEA, ESQ.

I, STEPHEN D. BLEA, ESQ., declare:

- 1. I am an attorney at law duly licensed to practice before all the Court of the State of California. I am an associate attorney with the law firm of Witham Mahoney & Abbott, LLP, attorneys of record for Plaintiff Larnita Pette. I am one of the attorneys responsible for the above-entitled case and as such have personal knowledge of the contents of our files, especially as pertains to the issues and facts presented in this declaration. I am competent to and do testify to the matters herein on personal knowledge.
- 2. Accompanying this Declaration is a list of the persons whose expert opinion testimony Plaintiff intends to offer at the trial of this action, either orally or by deposition testimony, in accordance with Code of Civil Procedure section 2034.260(b)(1).
- 3. This Declaration is provided in accordance with Code of Civil Procedure section 2034.260(c).
 - 4. Michael Plopper, M.D. and Karl Steinberg, M.D. have agreed to testify at trial.
- 5. Attached as **Exhibit A** and **Exhibit B** are resumes describing the professional qualifications of Dr. Plopper and Dr. Steinberg, respectively.
- 6. The following is a brief narrative statement of the general substance of the testimony that Dr. Plopper and Dr. Steinberg are expected to give at trial:
- (a) Dr. Plopper is expected to testify regarding Bobbye Rives' mental capacity and her susceptibility to undue influence at all relevant times. Dr. Plopper is also expected to testify regarding any opinions offered by other medical experts concerning mental capacity and undue influence designated by any other party to this proceeding.
- (b) Dr. Steinberg is expected to testify regarding the level of care Bobbye Rives required at all relevant times to meet her medical and personal care needs, and the risks and

consequences to her of those levels of care not being met. Dr. Steinberg is also expected to testify regarding any opinions offered by other medical experts concerning required levels of care and risks and consequences of those levels of care not being met designated by any other party to this proceeding.

- 7. Dr. Plopper and Dr. Steinberg will be sufficiently familiar with the pending action to submit to a meaningful oral deposition concerning the testimony described above including their expert opinions and the basis therefor.
- 8. Dr. Plopper charges \$400 per hour for records review, deposition testimony, court appearance and/or providing a written report. Additionally, Dr. Plopper charges \$600 per hour for consultation with counsel. Dr. Steinberg charges \$800 per hour for deposition testimony and \$600 per hour for consultation with counsel.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is correct and true.

Executed this 10th day of March 2017, in San Diego, California.

Stephen D. Blea, Esq.

4847-3099-8085, v. 1

Curriculum Vitae

KARL E. STEINBERG, MD, CMD

3608 Napa Court Oceanside, CA 92056

(760) 473-8253 (M) • <u>karlsteinberg@MAIL.com</u> • (760) 637-2710 (F)

CURRENT EMPLOYMENT

- Village Square Healthcare Center, 05/09 to present. Duties: Medical Director for 118-bed Skilled Nursing Facility (SNF). Location: 1586 W. San Marcos Blvd., San Marcos, CA 92069. Contact: Gavin Wiswell, Administrator, 760-471-2986.
- Life Care Center of Vista, 01/13 to present. Duties: Medical Director for 134-bed SNF. Location: 304 N. Melrose Ave., Vista, CA 92083. Contact: Kim Kincaid, Administrator. 760-724-8222.
- Carlsbad by the Sea Care Center, 07/15 to present. Duties: Medical Director for 33-bed SNF and Continuing Care Retirement Community. Location: 2855 Carlsbad Blvd., Carlsbad, CA 92008. Contact: Joan Johnson, Executive Director, 760-435-2331.
- **Hospice By The Sea**, 08/95 to present. Duties: Medical Director. Location: 312 S. Cedros Ave., Ste. 205, Solana Beach, CA 92075. Contact: Kathie Jackson, Administrator, 858-794-0195.
- Rancho Santa Fe Medical Group (Mobile Doctors Medical Group), 11/12 to present. Duties: Employed Physician, making SNF, RCFE and home visits. Location: 1582 W. San Marcos Blvd., San Marcos, CA 92078. Contact: Luis Navazo MD, Medical Director, 760-591-9975.
- Stone Mountain Medical Associates, Inc., Oceanside, CA, 09/03 to present. Duties: President & CEO of healthcare and medicolegal consulting firm, providing medical direction, risk management services, QI, case review, testimony.
- Scripps Coastal Medical Center, 07/90 to present (Previously [Sharp] Mission Park Medical Grp.) Duties: Employed M.D.; Geriatrics, Family Medicine, Urgent Care, Palliative Care. Created SNF Team in 1994. From 1997-2012, supervised a team of six providers attending patients in post-acute and long-term care settings. Location: 130 Cedar Road, Suite 210, Vista, CA 92083. Contact: Anthony Chong MD, Medical Director. 760-827-7278.
- Case Western Reserve University, Graduate School of Biomedical Engineering, 09/12 to present. Location: 6540 Lusk Blvd, Suite C274,San Diego, CA 92121. Duties: Adjunct Faculty, teaching graduate level course on the U.S. Health Care System. Contact: Enrique Saldivar MD, 619-992-3905.
- California State University, San Marcos, Institute for Palliative Care, San Marcos, CA, 07/14 to present. Duties: Affiliate Faculty, curriculum development, teaching, content expert. Location: Craven Hall #6206. 333 S. Twin Oaks Valley Road, San Marcos, CA 92096. Contact: Helen McNeal, Executive Director, 760-750-7290.
- Elsevier Publishing, Caring for the Ages, Rockville, MD, 03/09 to present. Duties: Editor-in-Chief of AMDA's monthly periodical, print circulation >28,000. Contact: Carey Cowles, Managing Editor, 240-221-4500.

EDUCATION AND POSTGRADUATE TRAINING

- University of California San Diego (UCSD) Medical Center, Residency in Family Medicine (including internship 1987-88), San Diego, CA, 6/87-6/90.
- Ohio State University College of Medicine, Columbus, OH, Graduated (M.D.) 6/12/87. Member, American Medical Student Association, Director (Ohio) of Medical Education/Community Orientation Project, Commendation in Psychiatry, Recipient of Landacre Society Award for Student Research.
- Harvard College, Cambridge, MA, Graduated (A.B. Biochemistry & Molecular Biology) 6/80. *Cum Laude* General Studies, Member Hasty Pudding Institute of 1770, Sophomore Standing Candidate, National Merit Scholarship Recipient.

LICENSURE

Ohio #35-05-5734, issued 08/87 Florida #61418, issued 12/91 Passed FLEX I and II, 06/87. DEA #BS1325376, XS1325376 TIRF-REMS Certification, 2014 California #A45736, issued 01/89 Hawaii #MD-7229, issued 08/90 Passed NBME I and II, 1984 & 1986. NPI# 1144248915 Karl E. Steinberg MD CMD

Curriculum Vitae

Page 2 of 2

SPECIALTY CERTIFICATIONS

- Diplomate, American Board of Family Medicine (formerly American Board of Family Practice), passed initial certification examination 07/90. Scored in 97th percentile among all applicants (Composite Score 650). Recertified 07/02 (92nd percentile), 08/08 (95th percentile), 11/14.
- Diplomate, American Board of Hospice and Palliative Medicine, awarded January 2004, recertified with ABMS Certificate of Added Qualification, inaugural class, awarded 2008.
- Certified Medical Director (CMD), American Medical Directors Association (now known as AMDA—The Society for Post-Acute and Long-Term Care Medicine), awarded June 2004, recertified 2010.
- Certified Hospice Medical Director (HMDC), Hospice Medical Directors Certification Program (HMDCP), inaugural class, awarded July 2014.
- Life Care Planning coursework completed 2005, Kaplan College.

PROFESSIONAL ORGANIZATIONS/MEMBERSHIPS/APPOINTMENTS

- AMDA—The Society for Post-Acute and Long-Term Care Medicine (Chair Public Policy Committee; Member Communications & Publications Committees)
- National POLST Paradigm Task Force (Executive Committee)
- National Quality Forum (Member, Standing Committee on Palliative Care and End-of-Life Care)
- California Association of Long-Term Care Medicine [CALTCM] (Secretary & Past President, Leadership Award Recipient 2016)
- Coalition for Compassionate Care of California (Immediate Past Chair)
- American Academy of Hospice & Palliative Medicine (Chair, Geriatrics & Long-Term Care Special Interest Group; Public Policy Committee)
- American Medical Association
- California Medical Association (House of Delegates)
- S.D. County Medical Society (Board of Directors, member of Bioethics Commission)
- American Academy of Family Physicians (Fellow since 1998)
- Society of Teachers of Family Medicine
- American Geriatrics Society
- International Society of Addiction Medicine
- American Academy of Hospice & Palliative Medicine
- International Psychogeriatric Association
- Society of Hospital Medicine
- Southern California Bioethics Committee Consortium
- California Association of Health Facilities (Government Relations Committee)

OTHER EXPERIENCE

- Shea Family Health, 02/14 to 12/14, Duties: Chief Medical Officer for corporation providing post-acute and long-term care services, including 8 skilled nursing facilities, a home health agency and an RCFE in San Diego County.
- CareMinders Home Health, Cardiff, CA, 2012-2013, Medical Director for Home Health Agency.
- La Paloma Healthcare Center, Oceanside, CA, 1995-2007, Medical Director for 90-bed SNF.
- Las Villas de Carlsbad Health Center, Carlsbad, CA, 2007-2012, Medical Director for 34-bed SNF and adjoining Continuing Care Retirement Community (CCRC) with Assisted Living & Dementia Care units.
- Research Activities: Compliance in iron-deficient adolescents, Reduction of exercise-induced muscle damage by diclofenac, Investigator in multiple postmarketing studies on diabetes, dementia, agitation, smoking cessation, weight loss, advance care planning, care transitions.
- Editorial Advisory Board for *JAMDA*. Author/Contributor to AMDA Clinical Practice Guidelines (multiple, including the lead on 2012 revision of Pain CPG), White Papers, Tool Kits, Resolutions, etc.
- Consultant, Gerson Lehrman Group, various topics (Dementia, Remote Monitoring, LTC, Hospice, Pharmaceuticals, Diagnostics, etc.), 2002 to date.
- Clinical Instructor (Preceptor): Naval Hospital Camp Pendleton Family Medicine, UCSD Family Medicine, Samuel Merritt Univ., Point Loma Nazarene College, CWRU, others.
- High school science teacher (and coach), Fieldston School, Bronx, NY, 1981-83.

MICHAEL GREGORY PLOPPER, MD

7850 Vista Hill Avenue, San Diego, CA 92123 (858) 836 8355 michael.plopper@sharp.com

Work Experience

Chief Medical Officer, Sharp Healthcare Behavioral Health Services (includes 244 inpatient psychiatric beds, full continuum of outpatient services) (1998 – present)

Roles and responsibilities include

- > Oversight of admissions, utilization management, payor relationships, consultation/liaison in medical settings
- > Principal investigator of Clinical Research, over 300 clinical trials of investigational psychotropic medications
- > Medical staff liaison; recruitment and supervision of medical directors
- > Responsibility for civil commitment procedures; adherence to CMS, JC, FDA, HIPAA, EMTALA regulatory requirements
- > Quality measure reporting, sentinel event review and process improvement
- > Inpatient and outpatient therapeutic program development
- > Community health partnership development
- > Patient experience enhancement
- > Development recovery oriented patient care

Medical Director, Mesa Vista Hospital (1994-1998)

Vice President, Direct Care Division, Vista Hill Foundation (1995 - 1998)

Medical Director, Senior Programs, Mesa Vista Hospital (1992 – 2000)

Medical Director, Older Adult Program, Sharp Cabrillo Hospital (1990 - 1994)

Chief of Staff, Mesa Vista Hospital (1990 - 1991)

Clinical Assistant Professor of Psychiatry, USC School of Medicine (1983 - 1989)

Assistant Professor of Psychiatry, USC School of Medicine (1979 – 1982)

Co-Chief and Training Director, USC/Rancho Los Amigos Hospital Research and Training Center on Aging (1980 - 1982)

Clinical practice of inpatient and outpatient general adult psychiatric care; gero-psychiatric speciality focus (1983 – 2007)

Expert Witness Activity

Dr. Plopper provides expert witness evaluations and testimony regarding capacity, undue influence and standard of care issues

Education

University:

Northwestern University

B.S., Biological Sciences (1970)

Medical School:

Indiana University School of Medicine

M.S., Physiology (1972) M.D. (1975)

Residency:

Los Angeles County/University of Southern California

Medical Center, Dept. of Psychiatry (1975 - 1978)

Psychiatric Fellowships Consultation/Liaison Psychiatry (1978 - 1979)

Geropsychiatry (1979 – 1980) LAC/USC Medical Center

Board Certifications:

Diplomate, American Board of Psychiatry and Neurology (1981)

Added qualifications in Geriatric Psychiatry,

American Board of Psychiatry and Neurology (1994)

Professional Activities

Sharp Institutional Review Board; Sharp Wellbeing Committee;

Sharp CME Committee (1998 – Present)

California Psychiatric Association Council; San Diego Psychiatric

Society (1996 – 2002)

President, San Diego Psychiatric Society (2000 - 2001)

Board of Directors, Integrated Mental Health Professionals

(IPA) (1993-1997)

Awards

Distinguished Fellow, American Psychiatric Association (2003)

Clinician of the Year, San Diego County Mental Health

Association (2003)

San Diego Magazine, Top Doctors 2004, 2005, 2006

Publications

Plopper, M.G., Commonly Encountered Psychiatric Problems,

in Ambulatory Geriatric Care, Moby-Year Book Inc., 1993

Plopper, M.G., Evaluation and Treatment of Depression, in Geriatric

Rehabilitation, Little, Brown & Co., 1990

Lopez-Aqueres, W., Kemp, B., Plopper, M.G., Staples, F.R., Brummel-

Smith, K., Health Needs of the Hispanic Elderly, Journal American

Geriatrics Society, Volume 32, pp 191-198, 1984

Plopper, M.G. and Chui, Helena, Evaluation and Treatment of

Dementia, USC Press, 1983

Plopper, M.G., Mental Health in the Elderly, <u>Aging Prospects and Issues</u>, R. David (ed.), University of Southern California Press, 1980

MICHAEL GREGORY PLOPPER, MD 7850 Vista Hill Avenue, San Diego, CA 92123 (858) 836 8355 michael.plopper@sharp.com

Legal Fee Schedule

Record review, deposition, court appearance and written report	\$	400 per hour		
Attorney phone consult	\$	150 per 15 minutes		
Retainer	\$	1600 non refundable (initial 4 hours)		
Independent medical examination	\$	600 per hour		
Fee must be paid in full within two weeks of	receipt.			
Cancellations will require 48 hours' notice. If not, attorney will be billed legal fee schedule rate.				
This agreement is with attorney, not the client, and attorney's firm is bound to this agreement.				
My expert opinion is independent of terms of retention.				
Signature of retraining attorney:				
	Dat	te:		

December 9, 2015

VIA EMAIL

Patrick L. Hosey, Esq. Hosey & Bahrambeygui, LLP 225 Broadway, Suite 1460 San Diego, CA 92101

> Pette v. Murray-Calcote, et al. (Case No. 37-2015-00015654) Re: REQUEST TO MEET AND CONFER

Dear Mr. Hosey,

My office has received Ralph Sanders' discovery responses to the first set of discovery propounded by Larnita Pette. As discussed in more detail below, several of Mr. Sanders's responses do not provide complete answers, and we request that supplemental responses be provided. Please allow this to serve as our effort to meet and confer regarding Mr. Sanders's responses.

Responses to Special Interrogatories

Preliminary Statement to the Special Interrogatories

The Preliminary Statement to Mr. Sanders's Special Interrogatory responses asserts that Ms. Pette has "in [her] possession documents and information that substantiate claims set forth in the complaint, however, has refused and/or will refuse to produce such information based on claims of privilege." Ms. Pette has made no such contentions, and thus, this verified statement appears to be false. Please provide more detail in support of this statement...

Special Interrogatories Nos. 2-10, 13-23 Non-Responsive or Insufficient Answers

Interrogatory No. 2 seeks the name of all individuals with knowledge of the facts justifying Mr. Sanders' response to Interrogatory No. 1. Mr. Sanders' response fails to name such knowledgeable individuals, yet it does not deny the potential for their existence. Instead, Mr. Sanders merely responds that he is "unable to provide the information requested at present." This is not an acceptable response under the Code. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2030.210). Ms. Pette requests that Mr. Sanders state the name of any individual who can corroborate or attest to Mr. Sanders' response



to Interrogatory No. 1. If no such individual exists, Ms. Pette requests that Mr. Sanders respond accordingly. In any event, Mr. Sanders must provide an appropriate response to Interrogatory No. 2, as his present answer is incomplete.

Interrogatory No. 3 asks whether Mr. Sanders engaged in any actions on Bobbye J. Rives' behalf between 2011 and her death on September 14, 2014. The information sought by this interrogatory does not simply encompass actions typically taken by attorneys, healthcare managers, or executors, however Mr. Sanders improperly imposes that limitation on the interrogatory. The types of "actions" sought include, but are not limited to: (1) whether Mr. Sanders made telephone calls to/for Bobbye J. Rives during the pertinent period; (2) whether Mr. Sanders discharged Bobbye J. Rives from medical or psychological treatment during the pertinent period; and (3) whether Mr. Sanders corresponded with Bobbye J. Rives' doctors concerning her health and treatment plan. Ms. Pette requests that Mr. Sanders remove his limitation from his response and respond fully regarding the actions he took on behalf of Bobby J. Rives.

Interrogatory No. 4 requests that Mr. Sanders state the name of any individual who can corroborate or attest to Mr. Sanders' response to Interrogatory No. 3. Because Mr. Sanders' response to Interrogatory No. 3 is insufficient and requires additional consideration, Mr. Sanders' response to Interrogatory No. 4 will similarly need to be revised.

Interrogatory No. 5, while it admittedly could have been clearer, is asking whether Mr. Sanders ever signed discharge papers for Bobbye J. Rives to be released from Scripps Memorial Hospital on or around September 7, 2014. If Mr. Sanders did sign such discharge papers, the interrogatory seeks all information that would substantiate that fact. With that clarification, we request that Mr. Sanders please revise his response to Interrogatory No. 5.

Interrogatory No. 6 requests that Mr. Sanders state the name of any individual who can corroborate or attest to Mr. Sanders' response to Interrogatory No. 5. Because Mr. Sanders' response to Interrogatory No. 5 is insufficient and requires additional consideration, Mr. Sanders' response to Interrogatory No. 6 will similarly need to be revised.

To clarify, Interrogatory No. 7 is asking whether Mr. Sanders assisted in finding an assisted living facility for Bobbye J. Rives when she was released from Scripps Memorial Hospital on September 7, 2014. If Mr. Sanders did assist in finding an assisted living facility, the interrogatory seeks all information that would substantiate that fact. With that clarification, we request that Mr. Sanders please revise his response to Interrogatory No. 7.



Desc Main Document Page 40 of 40

Interrogatory No. 8 requests that Mr. Sanders state the name of any individual who can corroborate or attest to Mr. Sanders' response to Interrogatory No. 7. Because Mr. Sanders' response to Interrogatory No. 7 is insufficient and requires additional consideration, Mr. Sanders' response to Interrogatory No. 8 will similarly need to be revised.

Interrogatory No. 9, to clarify, is requesting whether Mr. Sanders assisted Bobbye J. Rives in any way in pursuing a restraining order against Ms. Pette. Such assistance would include, but is not limited to, providing transportation to court, attending court hearings, helping with legal paperwork, etc. If Mr. Sanders did assist in this process, the interrogatory seeks all information that would substantiate that fact. With that clarification, we request that Mr. Sanders revise his response to Interrogatory No. 9.

Interrogatory No. 10 requests that Mr. Sanders state the name of any individual who can corroborate or attest to Mr. Sanders' response to Interrogatory No. 9. Because Mr. Sanders' response to Interrogatory No. 9 is insufficient and requires additional consideration, Mr. Sanders' response to Interrogatory No. 10 will similarly need to be revised.

Interrogatory No. 13 asks that Mr. Sanders list all the medical facilities that he helped Bobbye J. Rives find in order for Ms. Rives to: (1) receive treatment for any medical conditions; or (2) receive any other medical service. Mr. Sanders has objected to this interrogatory and refused to respond, stating he was unable to determine what the term "assisted" meant in context of the interrogatory. Section 1 of Ms. Pette's special interrogatory requests provides a list of definitions which are meant to be incorporated within those interrogatories, and Item 6 defines "ASSIST" or "ASSISTED" as "to accommodate, aid, support, contribute, participate, or help." Given the existence of this definition, Mr. Sanders' objection is improper. Accordingly, please provide a full response to Interrogatory 13.

Interrogatory No. 14 requests that Mr. Sanders state the name of any individual who can corroborate or attest to Mr. Sanders' response to Interrogatory No. 13. Because Mr. Sanders' response to Interrogatory No. 13 is insufficient and requires additional consideration, Mr. Sanders' response to Interrogatory No. 14 will similarly need to be revised.

To clarify, Interrogatory No. 15 is asking whether Mr. Sanders assisted in providing groceries to Bobbye J. Rives, or whether Mr. Sanders ever took groceries to Bobby J. Rives. If Mr. Sanders did provide such assistance with Ms. Rives' groceries, the interrogatory seeks all information that would substantiate that fact. With that clarification, we request that Mr. Sanders please revise his response to Interrogatory No. 15.